



www.scer-mobility.ch

# New fuels and new combustion modes: A path to zero emissions / high efficiency mobility systems

## COMPUTATIONAL RESEARCH

### CHEMICAL ENERGY CARRIERS FOR COMBUSTION IN TRANSPORTATION

Despite the continuous development of new technologies the combustion process is still at the basis of most of the energy transformation processes employed in mobility systems.

The continuous study devoted by the research community to the understanding and improvement of the combustion process allowed to match from year to year the always more stringent emission standard regulating the Diesel and gasoline automotive engines.

Moreover, this effort brought light on future and promising paths to further develop the combustion systems and approach the target of highly-efficient/zero emission combustion in mobility systems. In particular:

- The employment of new synthetic fuels that feature specific characteristics
- The development of new combustion strategies

In order to make the best of these two concepts and of their combination, much more understanding is still needed. To pursue this goal at LAV we use different experimental equipments as well as advanced computational methods to understand the process from its fundamentals, until its application in real engines.

**New Synthetic Fuels**

The availability of alternative fuels has risen significantly over the last decade. Synthetic fuels, i.e. liquid fuels obtained from gasification of solid feedstocks such as biomass, need to be understood in depth. The studies carried out aim at the characterization of a broad range of fuels with very different chemical structure and ignition/combustion features:

- Fuel auto-ignition characterization
- Analysis of oxygenated fuels' combustion phasing
- Quantification of fuel low temperature heat release activity

**New Combustion Modes**

The development of new combustion strategies in the last years allowed to overcome the traditional problems related to the combustion in reciprocating engines:

- Homogeneous charge compression ignition (HCCI)
- Dual-fuel or reactivity controlled compression ignition (RCCI)
- Advanced multi-injection strategies

Highly-Efficient and Zero-Emission Combustion

**Advanced Computational Methods**

Advanced Computational Methods allow for improved understandings of fundamental flow processes, as for example cyclic variability of the flow field.

The "no-model" approach of DNS makes virtual experiments possible which can provide rich data for subsequent model development and validation in model based environments such as RANS or LES.

RANS and LES come at considerably reduced computational cost and offer the ability to respect complex geometries found typically in reciprocating piston engines.

LAV  
 Laboratorium für Aerothermochemie und Verbrennungssysteme  
 Aerothermochemistry and Combustion Systems Laboratory

ETH  
 Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich  
 Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

## HCCI COMBUSTION WITH SYNTHETIC FUELS

CHRISTOPHER BLOMBERG  
 DIMITRIOS MITAKOS  
 DR. Y. M. WRIGHT  
 PROF. K. BOULOUCOS

BLOMBERG@LAV.MAVT.ETHZ.CH  
 MITAKOS@LAV.MAVT.ETHZ.CH  
 WRIGHT@LAV.MAVT.ETHZ.CH  
 BOULOUCOS@LAV.MAVT.ETHZ.CH

### INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades fuel and transportation industries have witnessed an increasing need to develop more efficient and cleaner engines. This is partially due to drastic world-wide reductions in the regulated limits for pollutant emissions coming from internal combustion engines (ICE) and the steady increase in oil prices. A very promising approach towards in-cylinder emissions reductions and higher engine efficiency is lowering the combustion temperature. This strategy is coined as Low Temperature Combustion (LTC). Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition (HCCI) belongs to this family of LTC and has been receiving increasing attention from engine manufacturing companies. In brief, this technology tries to blend the advantages of gasoline and diesel engines into one single engine concept.

Many challenges still remain today to make these engines commercially ready. One main challenge is to have complete control over combustion initiation. Fuel chemistry and its kinetics play a dominant role in LTC ignition. To explore the influence of fuel chemistry with simulations, reduced chemical kinetic mechanisms and ignition delay models have gained enormous importance.

At LAV two models have been developed for HCCI combustion:

- A 3-Arrhenius model with the option of using an integrated Cool-Flame model for the full prediction of HCCI ignition delays.
- A 7-step global reaction mechanism to predict ignition delays and characteristic HCCI combustion variables (pressure, temperature, species, etc.).

### 3-ARRHENIUS MODEL

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_1} + \frac{1}{\tau_2} + \frac{1}{\tau_3}$$

$$\tau_i = A_i \left( \frac{p}{p_{ref}} \right)^{b_i} T^{-b_i} \exp\left(\frac{E_{a,i}}{T}\right) \phi^{c_i} \left( \frac{[N_2]}{[O_2]} \right)^{d_i} \exp\left(\frac{[N_2]}{[O_2]}\right) \text{ for } i = 1, 3$$

### GLOBAL REACTION MECHANISM

Reaction	Rate [mol/cm <sup>3</sup> s]
1. F + (2x + y)/4 O <sub>2</sub> → y/2 H <sub>2</sub> O + x CO	$R_1 = k_1 [F]^{1.5} [O_2]^{1.5} (p/p_{ref})^{0.5}$
2. CO + 0.5 O <sub>2</sub> → CO <sub>2</sub>	$R_2 = k_2 [CO] [O_2]^{0.5} (p/p_{ref})^{0.5}$
3. F + 2 O <sub>2</sub> → I <sub>1</sub>	$R_3 = C_{1,1} k_3 [F] [O_2] [M] (p/p_{ref})^{1.5}$
4. I <sub>1</sub> → 2Y	$R_4 = k_4 [I_1]$
5. Y + 0.5 F + [(2x + y)/4 - 1] O <sub>2</sub> → y/2 H <sub>2</sub> O + x CO	$R_5 = k_5 [Y]$
6. I <sub>1</sub> → I <sub>2</sub>	$R_6 = k_6 [I_1]$
7. I <sub>2</sub> → 2Y	$R_7 = k_7 [I_2]$

$k_i = A_i \exp(-E_i/RT)$ ,  $p_0 = 1 \text{ MPa}$ ,  $[M]_0 = p_0 RT$  and  $[M]_i = [F] + [O_2] + [I_1] + [I_2] + [Y] + [CO]$

F: fuel, alkyl radicals, large alkenes and high temperature intermediates (primarily alkenic species)  
 I<sub>1</sub>: alkylperoxy radicals (ROO•) and subsequent hydroperoxy, oxyhydroperoxy and ketohydroperoxy alkyl radicals (ROOH•, O<sub>2</sub>ROOH•, HO<sub>2</sub>RO•, HO<sub>2</sub>•)  
 I<sub>2</sub>: mainly H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, aldehydes and lighter alkenes and ethers formed via the low temperature reaction paths  
 Y: mainly HO<sub>2</sub>• and OH•

### RESULTS OF 3-ARRHENIUS MODEL

Figure 1: Measured vs. computed cool-flame and main ignition delays of the 3-Arrhenius model for various fuels.

### RESULTS OF GLOBAL REACTION MECHANISM

Figure 3: Measured vs. computed cool-flame and main ignition delays of the global reaction model for various fuels.

### REFERENCES

[1] D. Mitakos, et al. "A Cool Flame Integrated 3-stage Ignition Model for HCCI Applications," SAE Int. J. Engines, 2013.  
 [2] A. Vanderschuer, et al. "Global Reaction Mechanism for a Selection of Full Boiling Range Gasoline and Kerosene fuels under HCCI Conditions," Combust. Theory Model., 2013.

FUNDING: FVV, BfE, EV

S. S. Pandurangi\*  
 M. Bolla  
 \*sushpa@lav.mavt.ethz.ch

N. Frapelli  
 Y. M. Wright

D. Farrace  
 K. Boulouchos

## DIESEL ENGINES AND SPRAYS COMBUSTION MODELLING

### Influence of EGR on Post-injection Effectiveness in a Heavy-duty Diesel Engine

#### Introduction

Soot particles emitted by diesel engines are dangerous for the environment and for living organisms. Emission legislation around the world is becoming increasingly stringent, demanding better understanding of the physics of soot formation to design countermeasures. One such measure being heavily researched is the addition of a small fuel post-injection. In this numerical study based on data from a heavy-duty research diesel engine [1], we investigate the effect of post-injection on soot evolution and governing processes, and the influence of exhaust gas recirculation on the interaction between post- and main-injections.

#### Experimental setup [1]

**Engine parameters**  
 bore: 140 mm (VH=2.34 L)  
 CR=11.2(geom.), 16(sim)  
 swirl ratio: 0.5  
 injector: 8 x 0.131 mm  
 fuel: n-heptane

**Measurement techniques**  
 pressure & AHRR  
 2D natural luminosity  
 planar LI

#### CFD model

**CFD computational setup:**

- Commercial CFD code STAR-CD [2]
- 2D grid with 1.0 mm mesh size
- k-epsilon turbulence model, wall functions
- Euler-Lagrange approach for droplets
- Conditional Moment Closure (CMC) combustion model [3]
- Reduced mechanism for n-heptane [5]
- Two-equations soot model [6]
- Optical-thin soot radiation model [7]
- Soot differential diffusion effects neglected (Le=1)

#### Soot model: two-equations model [6]

(1) Particle inception:  
 $C_{10}H_{22} \rightarrow C_{10}H_{19} + H_2$   
 $\dot{m}_{soot} = 10^6 C_{10}H_{22} \dot{m}_{fuel}$

(2) Particle surface growth  
 $C_{10}H_{22} + C_{10}H_{19} \rightarrow (n+2)C_{10}H_{19}$   
 $\dot{m}_{soot} = 6 \cdot 10^6 C_{10}H_{22} \dot{m}_{fuel} \sqrt{C_{10}H_{22}}$

(3) Particle oxidation by O<sub>2</sub>:  
 $C_{10}H_{19} + O_2 \rightarrow CO + H_2O$   
 $\dot{m}_{soot} = 10^6 C_{10}H_{19} \dot{m}_{fuel} \sqrt{C_{10}H_{19}}$

(4) Particle oxidation by OH:  
 $C_{10}H_{19} + OH \rightarrow CO + H_2$   
 $\dot{m}_{soot} = 0.36 OH \dot{m}_{fuel} \sqrt{C_{10}H_{19}}$

(5) Particle coagulation:  
 $nP \rightarrow P_n$   
 $\dot{m}_{soot} = \frac{248}{(\rho_p N_A)^2} \frac{1}{2} \int \int f(p, x) f(p, x) N_p^2$

Soot model accounts for simultaneous soot particle inception, surface growth, oxidation by O<sub>2</sub> and OH and coagulation

Solve transport equations for soot mass fraction and soot number density (Le=1):

Source term soot mass fraction:  
 $\dot{m}_{soot} = (\dot{m}_{soot, inc} - \dot{m}_{soot, ox}) + (\dot{m}_{soot, ag} - \dot{m}_{soot, coag})$

Source term soot number density:  
 $\dot{m}_{soot} = (\dot{m}_{soot, inc} - \dot{m}_{soot, ox}) + (\dot{m}_{soot, ag} - \dot{m}_{soot, coag})$

#### Engine heat release and flowfield validation

very good accuracy maintained considering the large dilution spread  
 overestimations of AHRR of the main injection strongly influence the ignition and heat release from the sensitive post-injection  
 excellent prediction of the position of the post-injection soot, with respect to penetration and orientation  
 impingement on the wall and subsequent curling qualitatively well captured

#### Soot formation and oxidation processes

Soot quantities for single- and post-injection  
 Soot evolution at low EGR (8% O<sub>2</sub>)  
 Relative oxygen field for the post-injection case compared to the single-injection case  
 at high EGR (12.5% O<sub>2</sub>)  
 at low EGR (8% O<sub>2</sub>)

Enhancement of soot oxidation rate  
 - order of magnitude enhancement at high EGR (larger soot volume)  
 - caused by additional locally available oxygen  
 - soot evolution of the low-EGR case shows an initial increase due to added fuel, but subsequent drop due to improved oxidation

O<sub>2</sub> difference (normalised by the O<sub>2</sub> distribution of the single-injection case) shows an accumulation of O<sub>2</sub> at the tip of the post-injection being pushed into the main flame.

At low ambient oxygen levels, the oxidation rate by O<sub>2</sub> is found to rise strongly and is the major contributor to overall oxidation. At high ambient oxygen the contribution of O<sub>2</sub>-oxidation decreases due to the higher activity of OH caused by the increase in flame temperature.

#### Multiple Injections [8]

Multiple injections using a single total mixture fraction (MFT) as the conditioning scalar:  
 re-initialisation of conditional temperature and composition in every CMC cell at the time of the first appearance of MFT from post injection (MFT) constraint:  $MFT_{post} + MFT_{main} \leq MFT_{total}$

Number of subsequent injections is not limited with this approach!

#### References

[1] O'Connor, J. and M. Musculus, *International Journal of Engine Research*, 2013.  
 [2] CD-adapco, STAR-CD v16, 2010.  
 [3] A. V. Klimenko and R. W. Bilger, *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*, vol. 25, pp. 595-682, 1999.  
 [4] Y. M. Wright, et al. *Combustion and Flame*, vol. 143, pp. 402-419, 2005.  
 [5] S. L. Liu, et al., *Combustion and Flame*, vol. 137, pp. 320-339, 2004.  
 [6] K. M. Leung, et al. *Combustion and Flame*, vol. 87, pp. 289-305, 1991.  
 [7] J. F. Williams, *Combustion Science and Technology*, vol. 175, pp. 2299-2308, 2013.  
 [8] Bolla, M., et al. *International Multidimensional Engine Modeling Users' Group Meeting* 2014.

#### Acknowledgements

**Funding**  
 • Competence Center for Energy and Mobility (CCEM-CH) project "In-cylinder emission reduction for large diesel engines"  
 • Swiss Federal Office of Energy (BFE)

LAV  
 Laboratorium für Aerothermochemie und Verbrennungssysteme  
 Aerothermochemistry and Combustion Systems Laboratory

ETH  
 Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich  
 Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

M. SCHMITT  
 C. E. FROUZAKIS  
 Y. M. WRIGHT  
 K. BOULOUCOS

MARTIN.SCHMITT@LAV.MAVT.ETHZ.CH  
 FROUZAKIS@LAV.MAVT.ETHZ.CH  
 WRIGHT@LAV.MAVT.ETHZ.CH  
 BOULOUCOS@LAV.MAVT.ETHZ.CH

## DNS IN ENGINE-LIKE GEOMETRIES

### INTRODUCTION

Internal combustion engine flows are turbulent, unsteady and exhibit high cycle-to-cycle variations. There are multiple turbulence generating mechanisms and their effects overlap in time and space, creating strong challenges for the turbulence models currently used.

In this project, the flow in engine-like geometries is investigated using Direct Numerical Simulation (DNS), high-fidelity numerical experiments with high temporal and spatial resolution. The results can be used for better understanding of in-cylinder processes and for model validation purposes.

### SIMULATION SETUP

**Boundary Condition (BC):**

- Dirichlet Boundaries for velocity and Temperature
- The piston moves according to a harmonic function according to the piston speed of 200/560 rpm
- Compression ratio = 12 (compression)

**Simulation data:**

- 160,000 CPUh / cycle (flow)
- 200,000 CPUh / compression
- 15 TB raw of data
- 8 flow cycles / 8 compressed cycles

**Grid:**

- 70 - 100 million grid points
- Grid generation: Cubit

### NUMERICAL TOOL

- Spectral element discretization based on the incompressible flow solver nek5000
- High-order fluid/thermochemistry splitting (low Mach number formulation)
- Implicit integration of thermochemistry
- Implicit/explicit integration of hydrodynamics
- Detailed chemical kinetics and transport
- High scalability / parallel efficiency

### EXPERIMENT

LDA measurements by Morse, Whitelaw and Yiannakis (1979)

Available data:

- Mean and rms velocity field at four times (36°, 90°, 144° and 270°CA)
- Velocity Streamlines

### CYCLE TO CYCLE VARIATIONS

180°CA, 207°CA, 225°CA

Cycle 3, Cycle 5

Iso-pressure surface (yellow)  
 Velocity magnitude on the slice

Volume Rendering of the Vorticity Magnitude [1/s]

### INTAKE STROKE

Temperature: 900 [K] - 1200 [K]

Velocity Magnitude: 0 [m/s] - 2.66 [m/s]

### WALL HEAT LOSSES

Heat flux through the cylinder head [W/m<sup>2</sup>]

Wall normal velocity close to the cylinder head [m/s]

### TEMPERATURE & FLOW AT TDC

Temperature: 900 [K] - 1200 [K]

Velocity Magnitude: 0 [m/s] - 2.66 [m/s]

### FUTURE WORK

- Investigate auto- and forced ignition and flameflow interactions
- Effects of cycle-to-cycle fluctuations in the HCCI combustion mode
- Detailed investigation of Wall Heat Losses during compression and later combustion
- Simulate more realistic engine geometries

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- Financial support: SNF 200021\_135514
- Computational resources:
  - Swiss National Supercomputing Center (CSCS)
  - ETHZ HPC Cluster brutus
- Visualization: Jean Favre, CSCS
- Ananias Tomboulides, Univ. W. Macedonia, Greece

LAV  
 Laboratorium für Aerothermochemie und Verbrennungssysteme  
 Aerothermochemistry and Combustion Systems Laboratory

ETH  
 Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich  
 Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich